School Principal Wellbeing in Regional and Remote Australia

Community relationships and the conditions that sustain school leadership

Ingrid Jansen-Neeling

Public edition | November 2026

About this paper

School principal wellbeing is shaped by the conditions in which leaders live and work. In regional and remote Australia, workload, access to support, community relationships and geographic isolation can combine in ways that make leadership difficult to sustain. This paper examines those connections and considers what shared responsibility for wellbeing could look like in practice.

This public edition is adapted from a research paper completed for the Master of International and Community Development at Deakin University. It draws together existing research, policy documents and professional literature across education, leadership and community development. No new interviews, surveys or program evaluations were conducted. The recommendations are proposals informed by that review, rather than interventions tested by this study.

Why community conditions matter

A principal's work reaches well beyond the school timetable. Staffing, student needs, relationships with families, administrative responsibilities and unexpected events all compete for attention. In regional and remote settings, these demands may be accompanied by long travel distances, limited specialist services, housing constraints and fewer opportunities to speak with professional peers (Clarke & Wildy, 2004; Heffernan, 2021).

The place itself matters. A leader may work in a community where strong relationships make it easier to solve problems and ask for help. Another may face social tensions, uncertainty about local expectations or difficulty establishing a life outside the role. Conditions can also change as staff, families and community circumstances change.

The central question guiding the original paper was: What community and place based conditions are most likely to influence the wellbeing of school principals in regional and remote Australia? This question connects the experience of individual leaders with the stability of schools and the wellbeing of their communities.

National research documents sustained stress and burnout among Australian school leaders (Riley et al., 2020; Arnold, Rahimi & Riley, 2023). Personal strategies for managing stress have a place, but their usefulness depends partly on whether leaders have time, practical support and workable conditions in which to use them. Support for wellbeing therefore needs to address the demands of the role and the circumstances surrounding it.

How the review was approached

The original study used an integrative literature review, bringing different kinds of published material together to examine a complex question (Snyder, 2019). Research about school leadership was considered alongside community development literature and work concerned with rural and regional contexts.

The approach recognised that experience and interpretation are shaped by relationships, culture and place. It also acknowledged that my professional experience as a school leader informed how I read the literature. Critical reflection was therefore part of the research process.

This is an interpretive review. It identifies recurring themes and connections, but does not establish that every principal experiences the same pressures or that a particular condition directly causes an outcome. National survey findings provide useful background, while evidence specifically concerning regional and remote settings is needed to understand local differences.

Workload and access to support

Workload becomes harder to manage when there are few people available to share it. In some regional and remote schools, principals combine teaching with leadership and administrative responsibilities. Staff shortages can reduce flexibility and leave leaders carrying additional operational tasks while continuing to respond to students, staff and families (Heffernan, 2021; Dicke, Kidson & Marsh, 2025).

Distance affects the support available. Attending professional learning or a system meeting may involve substantial travel and additional arrangements at school. Advice, specialist services and opportunities to debrief with a colleague may be harder to access when they are needed. These practical barriers influence how manageable the role feels over time.

The review highlights how pressures accumulate. A staffing problem may increase teaching demands; those demands may reduce time for leadership work; unfinished work may then extend into evenings and weekends. Professional isolation can make the same situation harder to work through. Considering these conditions together gives a clearer picture than treating each as a separate wellbeing issue.

Useful responses could include additional administrative capacity, opportunities to share leadership responsibilities, reliable access to specialist advice and professional learning that reflects the realities of distance. The appropriate combination would depend on the school and its circumstances.

Living and leading in a small community

In a small community, professional and personal relationships often overlap. Principals may encounter families and colleagues throughout daily life, and decisions made at school can remain visible well beyond the workplace. Research on rural principals describes the experience of being observed and judged through community expectations (Earl Rinehart, 2022).

This visibility can make it difficult to step away from the role. A principal may need to manage sensitive conversations, maintain confidentiality and respond calmly during conflict while also participating in ordinary community life. The emotional effort involved in doing so is part of the work of leadership (Wilkinson et al., 2025b).

Strong local relationships can make leadership rewarding and provide practical support. Those same relationships can become demanding when expectations are unclear or when people assume that a principal is always available. Supporting leaders includes recognising their need for privacy, time away from work and relationships in which they can speak freely.

New principals may also need time to understand local history, establish trust and develop a sense of belonging. Being welcomed into a community and feeling able to participate in it can matter to the decision to stay (Heffernan, 2021). These relationships take time and cannot be assumed simply because an appointment has been made.

Trust and shared responsibility

Community development draws attention to the relationships, resources and opportunities that shape everyday life (Ife, 2016; Kenny & Connors, 2017). Applied to school leadership, this perspective asks who is available to help, how responsibility is distributed and whether local relationships make participation easier or more difficult.

The term social capital describes resources available through social relationships, including trust, cooperation and mutual support. For a principal, these resources might include people who help resolve a local concern, share knowledge of the community or work alongside the school on a common priority. Such relationships can support leadership, particularly where formal services are difficult to access (Preston & Barnes, 2018; Zuckerman, 2020).

Social connection alone does not guarantee support. A closely connected community may still contain conflict, unequal influence or expectations that place excessive responsibility on the school. The quality of relationships matters, including whether there is room for disagreement and whether commitments are shared fairly.

Building community relationships also takes work. It should not become another task added to an already overloaded principal's responsibilities. Community partnerships are most useful when they distribute effort, provide practical assistance and give people clear roles. The school leader needs support to participate in them.

Local resources and cultural understanding

Schools operate within wider social and economic conditions. When communities have limited access to health services, transport, secure housing or other supports, the consequences are often felt at school. Principals may face pressure to respond to needs that extend beyond the resources and responsibilities of educational leadership (Heffernan, 2021).

These circumstances call for cooperation between services and realistic expectations of what a school can provide. Community partnerships have potential when they improve access to help and clarify responsibilities. They cannot compensate indefinitely for shortages in essential services or school staffing.

Local cultural knowledge is also central to leadership. Regional and remote communities differ in their histories, languages, traditions and expectations of schooling. Approaches that work in one place may be unsuitable elsewhere. Principals need opportunities to listen, learn and work respectfully with people who hold knowledge of the community (Clarke & Wildy, 2004; Guenther, Disbray & Osborne, 2020).

Culturally responsive leadership preparation and ongoing support can help leaders navigate these relationships. Local people should have meaningful opportunities to shape decisions affecting their schools. The original paper argues that leadership support is more useful when it takes these local circumstances seriously.

Safety and the emotional demands of leadership

The national principal wellbeing literature reviewed for the original paper raises serious concerns about threats, aggression and physical violence directed towards school leaders (Dicke et al., 2025; Wilkinson et al., 2025a). These experiences can affect a leader's sense of safety and add to the emotional demands of the role.

National findings should not be treated as estimates for regional and remote principals. The review does not establish how rates of violence differ by location. It does, however, raise questions about how distance and limited access to services may affect the availability of timely assistance and follow up support.

Safety needs an organisational response. Principals require clear reporting processes, reliable assistance and support following an incident. Local planning should consider who can respond, how quickly help can arrive and what arrangements are available when a leader needs time away. Community goodwill is valuable, but responsibility for safe working conditions remains with the systems and organisations that employ and support school leaders.

Leadership continuity and community life

A principal's decision to remain in a school is influenced by personal circumstances as well as professional and community conditions. Relationships can be particularly important in demanding contexts (Heffernan, 2021). Support that makes the role more manageable may therefore contribute to leadership continuity, although this review cannot measure the effect of any particular approach.

Frequent leadership changes can disrupt relationships, school planning and the continuity of improvement work. Staff and families may need to establish trust again, while incoming leaders need time to understand the school and community. These effects help explain why principal wellbeing matters beyond the individual holding the position (Clarke & Wildy, 2004).

Stable leadership can support sustained cooperation between schools and local organisations. In turn, supportive community relationships may make it easier for leaders to remain. This connection is a reason to consider school leadership within broader community development, while recognising that schools and principals cannot carry responsibility for community wellbeing alone (Preston & Barnes, 2018; Zuckerman, 2020).

What shared responsibility could look like

The original paper recommends a combination of system support and locally informed action. Community involvement should complement adequate resourcing and clear organisational responsibility. The following priorities translate the review's central themes into areas for policy and practice.

Make the workload manageable

Review the responsibilities principals are expected to carry and the staffing available to carry them. Administrative support, shared leadership arrangements and dependable access to specialist services could reduce pressure. Professional learning and peer networks should be accessible without creating disproportionate travel or workload demands.

Build support around the local setting

Consider the community's location, cultural context, available services and practical constraints when designing support. Housing assistance, relocation support and recognition of service are options identified in the original paper for places where these conditions affect recruitment and retention. Their suitability would need local assessment.

Give partnerships practical roles

Clarify what education systems, health services, local government and community organisations can contribute. Partnerships should have a purpose that participants understand, with agreed responsibilities and realistic expectations. Local relationships are most valuable when they help people act together and make support easier to access.

Support belonging and professional connection

Provide opportunities for mentoring, peer discussion and confidential professional support. Help incoming leaders understand local expectations and develop relationships over time. Participation should respect principals' privacy and personal boundaries, particularly where their professional visibility is high.

Involve principals and communities in review

Principals working in regional and remote settings should help design the support intended for them. Local perspectives are also needed to understand whether arrangements are useful and culturally appropriate. Evaluation should examine workload, access to support, safety and leadership continuity alongside participants' experiences.

Limits and further questions

The review brings together literature from different fields and settings. These sources vary in purpose, method and geographic focus. Some provide national context; others explore particular communities. Their findings cannot be assumed to apply equally across regional and remote Australia.

The paper proposes that community conditions deserve greater attention in principal wellbeing policy and practice. Further research is needed to hear directly from principals and community members, examine differences between locations and evaluate the support arrangements they consider helpful. Proposed responses should be tested and refined rather than treated as established solutions.

Conclusion

Principal wellbeing is connected to how the work is organised, the support available and the place in which leadership happens. Workload, isolation, community expectations, cultural relationships and safety concerns can interact to make the role more demanding. Trust and practical cooperation can help, especially when they are supported by adequate resources and clear responsibilities.

The central contribution of this paper is to bring those conditions into the discussion of leadership sustainability. Supporting principals requires action from education systems and opportunities for local collaboration. When that support reflects everyday realities, it has the potential to benefit school leaders, staff, students and the communities their schools serve.

Publication note

This is an adapted public edition of university coursework, rather than a report of new field research. References are drawn from the original paper; this edition does not provide an updated review of policy or research published after that work.

References

Arnold, B, Rahimi, M & Riley, P 2023, 'The mental health of leaders in Australian government schools: Stress and burnout over a decade (2011–2020)', Educational Management Administration & Leadership, SAGE Publishing.

Clarke, S & Wildy, H 2004, 'Context Counts: Viewing Small School Leadership from the Inside Out', Journal of Educational Administration, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 555–572.

Dicke, T., Kidson, P., Marsh, H., (2025). The Australian Principal Occupational Health, Safety and Wellbeing Survey (IPPE Report). Sydney: Institute for Positive Psychology and Education, Australian Catholic University.

Earl Rinehart, K 2022, 'Experiencing Being Judged: Making Visible School Community Expectations of Rural Principals', Australian and International Journal of Rural Education, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 58–72.

Guenther, J., Disbray, S., & Osborne, S. (2020). Building on "Red Dirt" perspectives: What counts as important for remote education? The Australian Journal of Indigenous Education, 49(2), 119–130. https://doi.org/10.1017/jie.2019.22

Heffernan, A 2021, 'Retaining Australia's school leaders in "challenging" contexts: The importance of personal relationships in principal turnover decisions', International Journal of Educational Research, vol. 105, p. 101716.

Ife, J 2016, Community Development in an Uncertain World, Cambridge University Press.

Kenny, S & Connors, P 2017, Developing communities for the future, 5th edition, Cengage Learning Australia.

Preston, J & Barnes, KER 2018, 'Successful Leadership in Rural Schools: Cultivating Collaboration', The Rural Educator, vol. 38, no. 1.

Snyder, H 2019, 'Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines', Journal of Business Research, vol. 104, no. 1, pp. 333–339.

Riley, P., See, S-M., Marsh, H. & Dicke, T. (2020) The Australian Principal Occupational Health, Safety and Wellbeing Survey (IPPE Report). Sydney: Institute for Positive Psychology and Education, Australian Catholic University

Wilkinson, Jane; Walsh, Lucas; Grice, Christine; Longmuir, Fiona; Chandler, Philippa; Keddie, Amanda; et al. (2025a). Invisible Labour: Principals' Emotional Labour in Volatile Times. Report One: Technical Overview of the Project. Monash University. Report. https://doi.org/10.26180/30311881.v1

Wilkinson, Jane; Walsh, Lucas; Grice, Christine; Longmuir, Fiona; Chandler, Philippa; Keddie, Amanda; et al. (2025b). Invisible Labour: Principals' Emotional Labour in Volatile Times. Report Two: The Emotional Labour of the School Principal. Monash University. Report. https://doi.org/10.26180/30312055.v1

Zuckerman, S 2020, 'The Role of Rural School Leaders in a School-Community Partnership', Theory & Practice in Rural Education, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 73–91.